UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/775,669	02/09/2004	Donald Starr	STA-2.001	1474
22874 GANZ LAW, P	7590 04/17/200 P.C.	9	EXAM	IINER
P O BOX 2200			KIM, CHRISTOPHER S	
HILLSBORO, OR 97123			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Comment	10/775,669	STARR, DONALD			
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Christopher S. Kim	3752			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) <u>Christopher S. Kim</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>Hilde Coeckx</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>16 April 2009</u> .					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	²)∏ applicant's representative	·]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>44</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: Art of Record.					
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claim interpretation and scope of the claimed invention remains an issue. The preamble appears to define the subcombination of a wheel assembly while the body of the claim defines a combination of the wheel assembly, mobile irrigation tower, and tire assembly of a mobile irrigation system. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
/Christopher S. Kim/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3752					

Application No.

Applicant(s)